# The State of US Voting System Security

DEFCON Voting Machine Hacking Village July 2017

Joshua M Franklin National Institute of Standards and Technology



## **Election Fraud Types - 1934**

- Registration fraud
- Repeating
- Ballot box stuffing
- Assistance to voters

BACK

Intimidation & violence

- Altering ballots
- Ballot substitution
- False counts and returns
- Altering returns

#### [1] Joseph Harris, 1934



Bio

- IT Security Engineer, NIST
- Enterprise mobility, telecommunications, evoting
- 10+ years in the elections community
- Co-chair the Election Cybersecurity Working Group
- Masters in Information Security from George Mason



## Get to Know an Agency

- Federal:
  - Election Assistance Commission (EAC)
  - NIST, DHS, and FBI
- State: Secretary of State's office
- Local: counties, cities, townships, parishes, hamlets





## **Types of Voting Systems**

- Vote capture & tabulation
  - DREs, central & precinct optical scan, ballot marking device
  - Software associated with election administration
- Supporting election systems

BACK

- Voter registration, epollbooks, election night reporting
- Candidate filing, poll worker tracking, ballot tracking ...

06

# A Changing Threat Model

### **Old & Busted**

- Physically proximate attackers
- Accidental events
- Natural disasters
- Events affecting public confidence and trust

### **New Hotness**

- Nation state attackers
- Phishing
- Supporting election systems
- Everything in the old threat model, plus CYBER



## **Security Architecture**

- Embedded legacy system
  - Typically running \*nix variant
- Older or proprietary physical media
- Working TCP/IP stack is common

BACK

- Wireless is possible
- Required to stand the test of time (10 15 years)
- Jurisdiction that can pay MAY receive 1 5 updates

08

## **Independent Reviews**



## **Innovations in Voting Security**

• Risk Limiting Audits [8]

BACK

- Software Independence [6]
- E2E verifiable cryptographic protocols [9]
- Recognition of usability as a security issue

10

### Paper is not a Panacea

- Paper ballots provide tamper detection and enable auditability
- Paper can be modified

BACK

Seals and chain of custody need verification

NEX

- Routine audits need to be performed
- Cyberhygiene

## **Testing & Certification**

- EAC runs a testing and certification program
  - Most states do as well
- Voting system test labs (VSTLs) perform testing
- States are not required to use certified systems
- Testing validates voting machines submitted for certification meet the VVSG
- Freely available test reports! <u>www.eac.gov</u>

### **Certification Process**



## **Voting Standards**

- Voluntary Voting System Guidelines = VVSG [2]
- Scoped to vote capture and tabulation
- Not mandated for use

BACK

- Little security focus in initial drafts
  - Large overhaul in security requirements since 2005

13

## **VVSG Updates**

- 1. 1990 VSS
- 2. 2002 VSS
- 3. 2005 VVSG
- 4. 2007 Recommendations
- 5. 2015 VVSG
- 6. Principles & Guidelines under development

BACK



## **New Proposed Structure**

- Principles
  - High level system design goals
- Guidelines
  - Broad system design details for election officials
- Requirements
  - Technical details for design and development by vendors
- Test Assertions
  - Technical specification for testing by labs



# **Security Principles & Guidelines**

17

- Auditability
- Ballot Secrecy
- Access Control
- Detection and Monitoring

BACK

- Data Protection
- Software Integrity
- Physical Security

#### [3] NIST & EAC Voting Twiki





## apt-get upgrade

Routine meaningful audits

BACK

- Responsible vulnerability disclosure
- Augment how we manage election security
  - Risk assessment, threat modeling, and contingency planning

18

NEX.

- Regular, external scrutiny of systems is essential
- Voting systems need software updates
- Election officials need actionable guidance

## Help Make a Difference

- Register to vote
- Be a pollworker
- Work with your election official not against
- Join the public working groups









### References

- 1. Election Administration in the United States, 1934, by Joseph P. Harris https://www.nist.gov/itl/election-administration-united-states-1934-joseph-p-harris-phd
- 2. EAC, Voluntary Voting System Guidelines, 2017. https://www.eac.gov/voting-equipment/voluntary-voting-system-guidelines
- 3. NIST & EAC Security Principles & Guidelines, 2017. http://collaborate.nist.gov/voting/bin/view/Voting/SecurityObjectives
- 4. Office of the Director of National Intelligence, *Assessing Russian Activities and Intentions in Recent US elections*, ICA 2017-01D, 2017. https://www.dni.gov/files/documents/ICA 2017 01.pdf
- 5. ACM, Statewide Databases of Registered Voters Study Of Accuracy, Privacy, Usability, Security, and Reliability Issues, 2006.

http://usacm.acm.org/images/documents/vrd\_report2.pdf

- 6. Rivest, Wack, On the Notion of Software-Independence, 2008. https://people.csail.mit.edu/rivest/RivestWack-OnTheNotionOfSoftwareIndependenceInVotingSystems.pdf
- 7. Jones, Simons, *Broken Ballots*, 2012. http://brokenballots.com
- 8. Stark, A Gentle Introduction to Risk Limiting Audits, 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/gentle12.pdf
- 9. Benaloh et al, *End-to-end verifiability*, 2015. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1504.03778.pdf

— ВАСК







### References

- 10. SAIC Risk Assessment Report Diebold AccuVote-TS Voting System and Processes, 2003
- 11. Analysis of an Electronic Voting System, 2004
- 12. RABA Trusted Agent Report Diebold AccuVote-TS Voting System, 2004
- 13. Security Analysis of the Diebold AccuBasic Interpreter, 2006
- 14. Security Analysis of the Diebold AccuVote-TS Voting Machine, 2006
- 15. Diebold TSx Evaluation, 2006
- 16. Top to Bottom Review (TTBR), 2007
- 17. EVEREST: Evaluation and Validation of Election-Related Equipment, Standards and Testing, 2007
- 18. Software Review and Security Analysis of the Diebold Voting Machine Software, 2007
- 19. Software Review and Security Analysis of the ES&S iVotronic 8.0.1.2 Voting Machine Firmware, 2007
- 20. Insecurities and Inaccuracies of the Sequoia AVC Advantage 9.00H DRE Voting Machine, 2008
- 21. Software Review and Security Analysis of Scytl Remote Voting Software, 2008
- 22. Can DREs Provide Long-Lasting Security? The Case of Return-Oriented Programming and the AVC Advantage, 2009
- 23. Security Analysis of India's Electronic Voting Machines, 2010
- 24. Exploiting the Client Vulnerabilities in Internet E-voting Systems: Hacking Helios 2.0 as an Example, 2010
- 25. Maryland State Board of Elections Online Voter Services Penetration Testing Report, 2012
- 26. Attacking the Washington, D.C. Internet Voting System, 2012
- 27. Security Analysis of the Estonian Internet Voting System, 2014

